

Counterpoint: When is PCI an Acceptable or Preferred Alternative

Paul S.Teirstein, MD

Director of Interventional Cardiology Chief of Cardiology Scripps Clinic La Jolla, California

Disclosures:

Cordis, Boston, Medtronic:

Research Grants

Consultant

Speakers Bureau



Г	

Why do cardiovascular surgeons get so much respect?



The elite cardiac surgeon: --Good looking --Average ht 6'3" The basic interventionist --A little "hunched over" --Average ht 5'7 and...1/2"





POD #1 after multi vessel revascularization: *OLD* technology

POD #1 after multi vessel revascularization: *NEW* technology





The Interventionalist's View of Bypass Surgery

What do I like about bypass surgery?

Left internal mammary

What do I dislike about bypass surgery?

- Morbidity of the procedure
- Saphenous vein grafts
- Acceleration of underlying native coronary disease





Fig. 1. Schematic representation flow patterns highlighting regions where eddies, vortices, flow separations and bidirectional flow (arrow heads) can potentially occur. The extent, severity and location of these abnormalities can vary depending upon several factors including, the relative flows across the stenosis in the native artery and the graft.

Percent of Native Arteries with Progression

----- Cosgrove et al. Cleveland Clinic; J Thorac and Cardiovasc Surg 82:520-530, 1981



Progression (> 20% decrease in MLD) of atherosclerosis in native vessels was accelerated by vein grafts and occurred in over 50% of native vessels within 2 years of surgery

Effect of Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting on Native Coronary Artery Stenosis

----Hamada, Y. et al. Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery 2001; 42: 159-164



35% of native coronaries bypassed with a vein graft progressed to total occlusion by 5 month angiography



Risk Factors for Acceleration of Coronary Disease

- Smoking
- LDL cholesterol
- Obesity
- Sedentery life style
- Bypass surgery
 - especially saphenous vein graft implantation



The most frequently implanted surgical graft in the U.S. is still a saphenous vein... and after a few years, it's not a pretty site!









2008 Isolated CABG Data: Society of Thoracic Surgeons STS

158,750 patients with isolated CABG

LIMA = 89.6%

Bilateral IMA = 4.2%

Radial artery = 6.4%

---- 2008 STS database

Bilateral IMA = 27.6%

---- SYNTAX



By 5 years, vein graft patency was less than 40%. It was even worse for radial artery conduits and not much better for RIMAs!

----Khot UN et al. Cleveland Clinic, Circulation. 2004;109:2086-91.



Cumulative patency (<70% stenosis) by type of graft

Efficacy and Safety of Edifoligide, an E2F Transcription Factor Decoy, for Prevention of Vein Graft Failure Following Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery PREVENT IV: A Randomized Controlled Trial

PREVENT IV Investigators*

ORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFT (CABG) surgery is one of the most common surgical procedures performed in the United States.¹ In appropriately selected patients, CABG surgery results in improved survival, relief of angina, and **Context** Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery with autologous vein grafting is commonly performed. Progressive neointimal hyperplasia, however, contributes to considerable vein graft failure. Edifoligide is an oligonucleotide decoy that binds to and inhibits E2F transcription factors and thus may prevent neointimal hyperplasia and vein graft failure.

Objective To assess the efficacy and safety of pretreating vein grafts with edifoligide for patients undergoing CABG surgery.

Design, Setting, and Participants A phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of 3014 patients undergoing primary CARC supremumith at least 2 planned

A contemporary study (2002-2003), 73% received statins, 90% received aspirin!

sponse to the increased pressure and shear forces of arterial circulation. Hyperplasia results from proliferation and migration of vascular smooth muscle cells, which release cytokines that degrade the surrounding matrix and contribute to an inflammatory and highly atherogenic environment.¹⁶ The E2F ratio, 0.83 (95% CI, 0.64-1.08); P=.16).

Conclusions Failure of at least 1 vein graft is quite common within 12 to 18 months after CABG surgery. Edifoligide is no more effective than placebo in preventing these events. Longer-term follow-up and additional research are needed to determine whether edifoligide has delayed beneficial effects, to understand the mechanisms and clinical consequences of vein graft failure, and to improve the durability of CABG surgery.

Clinical Trial Registration Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT00042081. JAMA 2005;294:2446-2454 www.jama.com

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier Curves for Angiographic Follow-up



Table 3. Angiographic Results*

	No./Total (%)				
Event	Edifoligide	Placebo	OR (95% CI)	P Value	
Per patient Vein graft failure	436/965 (45.2)	442/955 (46.3)	0.96 (0.80-1.14)	.66	
Vein graft occlusion	403/964 (41.8)	397/951 (41.7)	1.00 (0.84-1.20)	.97	
Per vein graft Vein graft failure	650/2303 (28.5)	671/2254 (29.7)	0.94 (0.80-1.10)	.44	
Vein graft occlusion	601/2295 (26.1)	597/2242 (26.5)	0.98 (0.83-1.15)	.83	
Internal thoracic artery graft failure	69/809 (8.5)	60/784 (7.6)	1.12 (0.78-1.61)	.53	
			(

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

*Data were available for 1920 of the 2400 angiographic cohort patients. Per vein graft percentages are adjusted for intrapatient correlation.

2450 JAMA, November 16, 2005—Vol 294, No. 19 (Reprinted)

©2005 Amer

By 12 months 1/4 of SVG's are occluded; 40% of patients had at least one occluded SVG

At 1 year ITA failure was less frequent than SVG failure 8% Vs 29%

Vein graft failure profoundly increased death, MI and revascularization

Table 5. Clinical Event in Patients by Vein Graft Failure Status

	No./Total (%) of Patients	
Type of Event	Vein Graft Failure (n = 878)	No Vein Graft Failure (n = 1042)
Perioperative MI in CABG surgery	118 (13.4)	71 (6.8)
Death or MI*	122 (13.9)	9 (0.9)
Death, MI,* or revascularization	228 (26.0)	19 (1.8)

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; MI, myocardial infarction. *Not including perioperative MI in CABG surgery.

Saphenous vein graft failure

+ Native disease acceleration

= A very difficult day for the

Interventional cardiologist!

Three Great Myths of Cardiac Surgery

- Myth # 1: "Cardiologists do not obtain informed consent from patients prior to multivessel PCI."
 - No surgical consultation obtained
 - Risk of restenosis not disclosed
- How many cardiac surgeons do you know who inform patients that their saphenous vein graft only have about a 50% chance of patency within 5 years?
- How many cardiac surgeons do you know who inform patients that their underlying native vessel disease will accelerate due to SVG bypass, making their overall coronary diseased burden much worse when the SVG occludes?



One-year Rates of Repeat Revascularization in 4 CABG vs. Stent Assisted PCI Trials



Mercado et al, J thoracic Cardiovasc Surg, 2005

SYNTAX One Year Clinical Outcomes



Serruys, Mohr ESC 2008 *Primary Endpoint



 Myth # 2: Target vessel revascularization rates are much higher following PCI compared to CABG





Is CABG more durable than PCI? Interpreting Clinical Trial Results:

- Several years post CABG, both the native vessel and SVG often progress to a total occlusion or diffuse disease resulting in limited options for PCI.
- Given the high threshold for repeat bypass surgery (particularly in the presence of a patent LIMA graft), many post CABG patients are not offered repeat revascularization; not because they wouldn't benefit from re-intervention, but because the risks are prohibitive and the likelihood of success is low.
- Thus, much of the relative increase in repeat revascularization following PCI observed in clinical trials is because the post PCI patient, in contradistinction to the post CABG patient, remains a good candidate for further revascularization.



The TVR Trade-off: Good data – Bad interpretation

The clinician's perspective

Most of my patients tell me they would rather go through 3,
4 or even 5 PCI procedures rather than go through one
bypass surgery

 Yet some are using left main trial data to say exactly the opposite



One Year Clinical Outcomes



Serruys, Mohr ESC 2008 *Primary Endpoint



The safety and effectiveness of the TAXUS[®] Stent Systems have not been established in the following patient populations: lesions located in the unprotected left main coronary artery, or patients with multi-vessel disease.



What's missing from the PCI vs CABG trial data discussion?

- Why does the debate seem to always focus on mortality and repeat revascularization?
- Shouldn't we include morbidity endpoints?



PREVENT 4 *JAMA 2005*

Table 6. Safety Events

	No. (%) of Patients		
Type of Event	ICABG + Edifoligide (n = 1508)	CABG + Placebo (n = 1506)	
Atrial fibrillation	379 (25.1)	402 (26.7)	
Perioperative MI in CABG surgery	145 (9.6) ´	149 (9.9) ´	
Renal failure	49 (3.2)	50 (3.3)	
Bleeding requiring reoperation	40 (2.7)	36 (2.4)	
Pneumonia	33 (2.2)	37 (2.5)	
Stroke	28 (1.9)	18 (1.2)	
Adult respiratory distress syndrome	10 (0.7)	16 (1.1)	
Mediastinitis	9 (0.6)	12 (0.8)	
Pulmonary embolism	12 (0.8)	5 (0.3)	
		<i>c.</i>	

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; MI, myocardial infarction.

Annals of Internal Medicine

ARTICLE

Systematic Review: The Comparative Effectiveness of Percutaneous Coronary Interventions and Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

Dena M. Bravata, MD, MS; Allison L. Gienger, BA; Kathryn M. McDonald, MM; Vandana Sundaram, MPH; Marco V. Perez, MD; Robin Varghese, MD, MS; John R. Kapoor, MD, PhD; Reza Ardehali, MD, PhD; Douglas K. Owens, MD, MS; and Mark A. Hlatky, MD





 Myth # 3: Given differences in morbidity, bypass surgery can even be compared to PCI.

 If my PCI patient has a pseudo aneurysm requiring surgical repair of the femoral artery, it is considered a major complication that I have to defend at M&M and QA committee. The untoward event is a small surgical incision in the groin.

 All CABG patients have a major surgical incision in the chest. Therefore 100% of all CABG patients, by this definition, suffer a major complication as a result of their care plan.

The war of attrition:

Don't fall for the argument that, given enough years, PCI mortality will be significantly higher than CABG mortality in SYNTAX

"Beware of the p Value"

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE IS NOT ALWAYS CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

"A "p" value does not a substitute for a brain"



January 24, 2008

Bypasses Outshine Stents in Study

Patients Fared Better By Choosing Surgery For Multiple Blockages

By KEITH J. WINSTEIN

January 24, 2008

Patients with multiple clogged arteries are better off getting bypass surgery than stents, a study found.

Original Article

Drug-Eluting Stents vs. Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting in Multivessel Coronary Disease

Edward L. Hannan, et al N Engl J Med, Volume 358(4):331-341 Jan 24, 2008

Mortality (after adjustment) 7.3% for DES Vs. 6.0% for CABG This 1.3% absolute difference (p=0.03) yields a NNT of 77

If we need to do 77 bypasses to save one life, I believe the mortality benefit is clinically meaningless!

This point was completely missed by the lay press and many physicians





MACCE to 12–Months vs SYNTAX Score™ 🗾 CABG (N=897) 📃 TAXUS[®] Express[®] Stent (N=903) % P = 0.38*P*=0.007 2-month MACCE, 30 25 20 *P*=0.04 15 *P*=0.29 10 *P*=0.002 5 23 ≤22 23-32 \geq 33 0 SYNTAX Score RCT ITT pts; site-reported data

Presented by Dr. Serruys; TCT 2008

The safety and effectiveness of the TAXUS® Express® Stent System have not been established in the following patient populations: lesions located in the unprotected left main coronary artery or patients with multi-vessel disease.

USVC.TBD.October 2007.Page 35 of 157



Higher 12–Month MACCE in Diabetics* Driven by Revascularization



Presented by Dr. Dawkins; TCT 2008

The TAXUS Express Stent System has not been specifically indicated for patients with diabetes.

USVC.TBD.October 2007.Page 37 of 157





Current Evidence Justifies Left Main and 3 vessel PCI

----- with qualifications

- Is the syntax score high?
- Is it a simple ostial or mid-shaft lesion?
- Can we achieve complete revascularization?
 - Is revascularization of an occluded RCA important?
- Is the left main heavily calcified?
- Is the left main small, and/or tortuous?
- Is the patient an insulin dependent diabetic?
- Do co-morbid consisterations make the patient a poor candidate for CABG?



60 yo male with angina



Three bifurcations



Syntax Score is 34

Patient "demands" stents

Two weeks post robotic surgery

LIMA take-down by robot; small incision at 4th intercostal for anastomosis

Working in yard on POD #2



Two weeks post robotic surgery

Now his Syntax Score is 23







Bifurcation **DES**





Left Main DES with ostial circumflex kissing balloon







RCA stenting

Bypass the Bypass Venous Bypass!

